

Sept. 8. Vick

against
 Trustees of Linn's administrators of Mary E. Norton dec'd. } In Chancery
 Wm. J. Norton, as infant by L.H. Norton his guardian }
 ad litem } Defts

On the motion of the plaintiff leave is granted him to amend his Bill
 to make new parties and the cause is remanded to the Rules for further
 proceedings to be had therein.

Samuel & William Magphard

against
 Charles F. Magphard, Margaret W. Magphard, James B. Magphard }
 in his own right and as administrators of A.B. Magphard Sen dec'd Defts
 The Bill having been taken for copies at Rule as to the defendants James B.
 Magphard in his own right and as administrator of A.B. Magphard Sen on the answer
 of Charles F. Magphard and of the infant Margaret W. Magphard by L.H. Norton
 her guardian & also specially assigned to defend her interest in this suit
 replications to said answers exhibited filed and was argued by counsel
 for consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge order and decree that
 Peter J. Holmes, John S. Foster, John D. Baykin, J.B. Magphard & William
 Magphard who are appointed Commissioners (any three of whom may
 act) for the purpose divide the real estate belonging to the plaintiff
 Samuel W. Magphard and her infant sister Margaret consisting of a tract
 of Land in Southampton County, containing about 1000 acres called
 the "old Place" into two parts of equal value as near as practica-
 ble and allot one share to the plaintiff and the other to the infant
 defendant Margaret W. Magphard and report to this Court.
 And the Court doth further adjudge order and decree that Wm. Cold-
 who is hereby appointed a special Commissioner for the purpose take
 an account of the transactions of Charles F. Magphard as Guardian of
 Samuel and Margaret Magphard and the Commissioner in taking
 account will adjust any separate accounts heretofore settled by the said
 guardian and confirmed by the County Court of Southampton as the basis
 of his settlement and prima facie correct, liable to be discharged
 and satisfied by either party.
 And the Commissioner will take an account the kind, nature and value
 of the property and credits belonging to the said Samuel & Margaret Mag-
 phard which have come to the custody and control of their Guardian
 Charles F. Magphard, and what disbursements have been made thereof
 what investments have been made by him and Magphard authority
 which accounts the Commissioner is directed to examine state
 and settle and make report thereof with any matters specially stated
 deemed pertinent by himself or which may be required by either party &c.
 So stated.

Robert Shibley

against
 Thomas Shibley, Mary S. Shibley, Stephen B. Shibley, Walter Shibley }
 J. S. Shibley, W. S. Goodwyn & R. W. Goodwyn } Defts
 The Bill having been taken for copies at the Rule as to the defendants
 J. S. Shibley, W. S. Goodwyn & R. W. Goodwyn on the answer of Thomas